IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA

Defendants.	LIBERTY MUTUAL FIRE INS. et al.	-VS-	Plaintiff,	DENNESS R. ELLIS	
)CIVIL ACTION NO. #2:06CV00421-			

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND TO STATE COURT AND MOTION FOR IMPOSITION OF ATTORNEYS' FEES PURSUANT TO F.R.CIV.P. 11

present evidence that the amount in controversy meets the jurisdictional limits of this Court. requested relief is that removal of this action to federal court is wrongful in that the Court to remand this action to State Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1447(c). The basis for the Defendants failed to show that a complete diversity exists between the parties and failed to unto the court as follows: Thus, the removal was filed improvidently. COMES NOW the Plaintiff in the above styled cause and moves this Honorable In support of this motion, the Plaintiff shows

- negligence and/or wantonness and a claim for uninsured motorist benefits against Mary E. Austin (the at-fault driver) and Liberty Mutual (the plaintiff's insurance carrier). The current pending action is a car wreck case that involves allegations of
- Exhibit 1, has an Alabama address for Ms. Austin. Austin is believed to be an Alabama resident. find her, the Defendant Liberty Mutual has not provided any information to the Plaintiff to The Defendant Liberty Mutual is a foreign entity and the Defendant Mary While the Plaintiff is continuing to try to The accident report, attached hereto as

support its contention that complete diversity exists between the parties.

- coverage issued by Liberty Mutual to the Plaintiff. As evidenced by Exhibit 3, the available Liberty Mutual. such, the only potential recovery in this case is the uninsured motorist coverage issued by Company to Christina D. Crow dated December 29, 2005, attached hereto as Exhibit 2). As even if Mary Austin is a resident of another state, the Defendant has not met their burden of uninsured motorist coverage is only \$60,000 (\$20,000 per person for three vehicles). Thus, proof for showing that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional amount of this The Defendant Mary Austin is uninsured (See letter from Geico Insurance Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is the declarations page for the insurance
- original jurisdiction. Removal of an action is only proper if the United States District Court has 28 U.S.C. §1332(a) provides, in pertinent part:

value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. actions where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil

grounds by Office Depot v. Cohen, 204 F. 3d 1069 (11th Cir. 2000). evidence that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional requirement. specific amount of damages, the removing defendant must prove by a preponderance of the Best Buy Co., 269 F. 3d 1316 (11th Cir. 2001). Where, as here, the plaintiff has not pled a Kirkland v. Midland Mortgage Co., 243 F. 3d 1277, 1281 n.5 (11th Cir. 2001), Williams v. assertion that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional amount of this Court Tapscott v. MS Dealer Serv. Corp., 77 F. 3d 1353, 1357 (11th Cir. 1996), overruled on other Ŝ no facts in their removal petition or consent to removal that would support their The Defendants have the burden of proving that federal jurisdiction exists The Defendants have *Id.*,

removing defendant The Eleventh Circuit has reiterated that the burden of proving jurisdiction lies with the

notice of removal that the jurisdictional amount is satisfied, the removing defendant. Allen v. R & H Oil & Gas Co., 63 F. 3d 1326, 1335 (5th Cir. 1995); Gaus v. Miles, 980 F. 2d 564, 567 (9th Cir. 1992); assertion, is insufficient to meet the defendant's burden. See without setting forth the underlying facts supporting such an We reiterate that the burden of proving jurisdiction lies with diversity jurisdiction"). "affirmative showing Peninsular & Occidental S. S. Co., 287 F. 2d 252, 255 (5th burden of proving amount in controversy where it offered 1994) (concluding that removing defendant did not meet Burns v. Windson Ins. Co., 31 F. 3d 1092, 1097 (11th Cir. Laughlin v. Kmart Corp., 50 F. 3d 871, 873 (10th Cir. 1995); 1961) (stating that removing defendant must make than conclusory allegations"); of all the requisite factors of A conclusory allegation in the

Williams v. Best Buy Co., id

Document 4

- conclusory statement that "based on the pleadings, it seems likely that the total amount in met its burden of proving the "requisite factors of diversity jurisdiction" with their such evidence, remand is the only appropriate action. has is that the uninsured motorist benefits that are available to her are \$60,000. Without exceeds the jurisdictional limit of this Court and, in fact, the only evidence that the Plaintiff Removal, ¶9). No evidence has been presented to this Court that the amount in controversy controversy exceeds \$75,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs." Even if Ms. Austin's residency is never determined, the Defendant has not (Defendant's Notice of
- resident on the accident report. (See accident report, exhibit 1). In addition, the Defendant made to pay all attorneys' fees related to this removal and the filing of a motion to remand. There is no evidence that the at-fault driver is a foreign resident. She is listed as an Alabama In addition, the Plaintiff is requesting that the Defendant Liberty Mutual be

jurisdictional amount of the Court. Undersigned counsel for the Plaintiff has spent 4.5 hours \$60,000. Therefore, the Defendant Liberty Mutual knew or should have known prior to the would be fully aware of the available coverage and the limits of that coverage, specifically Motion to Remand. The Defendant should be made to pay for these costs. in reviewing the Notice of Removal, doing research on these issues, and preparing this filing of the Notice of Removal that the amount in controversy was less than the

be immediately remanded to the Circuit Court of Bullock County, Alabama so that it may be timely tried WHEREFORE, based upon the pleadings in the case, Plaintiff requests that this case

CHRISTINA D. CROW ATTORNEY FOR THE PLAINTIFF

OF COUNSEL:

Document 4

JINKS, DANIEL & CROW, L.L.C. UNION SPRINGS, AL 36089 P. O. Box 350

(334) 738-4225

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

properly addressed, on this S following by placing a copy of the same in the United States mail, postage prepaid and I hereby certify that I have served a copy of the foregoing document upon the __ day of _ me, 2006:

Carpenter, Ingram & Mosholder, LLP Joseph T. Carpenter, Esq. 303 Sterling Center

Montgomery, Alabama 36106 4121 Carmichael Road

hunn

OF COUNSEL

095

PAGE 01

CITY UNION SPRINGS

3347385068



Government Employees Insurance Company GEICO General Insurance Company GEICO Indemnity Company

GEICO Casualty Company

12/29/05

Regional Office: One GEICO Center

Macon, GA 31295-0001

Attorney Nathan Dickson for Denise Ellis

P.O. Box 350

Union Springs, AL 36089

Dear Ms. Ellis:

CLAIM NO: LOSS DATE: Mary E. Austin 0274772800101027

07/28/05

Sincerely,

Company will not provide coverage to Mary E. Austin on the date of the above occurrence.

With respect to the automobile accident occurring on 07/28/05, the GEICO Indemnity Insurance

Angel Griffin 1-800-841-8842, x-1685 Claims H453g





LibertyGuard Auto Policy Declarations Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance Company Boston, Massachusetts

DENNESS R 721 GROVE YOUR POLICY NUMBER: AO2-251-474993-10 5 NAMED INSURED AND MAILING ADDRESS: ELLIS CIR S

THESE DECLARATIONS EFFECTIVE: 07/20/05 FOR SERVICE PLEASE CONTACT:

UNION SPRINGS AL 36089-11

2367 CENTRAL PARKWAY MONTGOMERY AL 36106

1 CONDOC-204-689

SERVICE: 334-213-0651/800-850-4420 CLAIMS: 800-2CLAIMS (800-225-2467)

www.libertymutualinsurance.com

Of.

the

Policy Pamed I period: Insured : 07/20/05 as Stated H to 07/20/06 the Polic Policy. 12:01AM Standard Time р t the Address

Reason For This Notice: Your Renewal Policy Declarations

Motal Annual Policy Premium:	Amnual Premium Per Vehicle:	\$20 Per Day \$600 Per Accident	Transportation Expenses	Owing And Labor Cost - \$50 Each Disablement	Mptional Coverage	l	Veh 1 \$ 2	Actual Cash Value Less Deductible	ther Than Collision	R veh 2 \$ 500	Actual Cash Value Less Deductible Shown:	Collision	→ Coverage For Damage To Your Auto	\$ 40	ily Injury \$ 20	&. Uninsured Motorists	lents \$ 1,	25	\$ 50	\$ 25	. Liability	Gart	6/O	Moverages And Limits Under Your Auto P	PERSONAL AUTOMOBILE	6
				lement			2 \$ 500	מז			e Shown:			Each	,000 Bach Person		000 Each Person	,000 Each Accident	00 Each Accident	,000 Each Person				Policy:	E COVERAGE, LIMITS,	
54	-63		69	1/3					-53			-63 -				- CG -	-69				-6/3-	</td <td>Prem</td> <td>Cove</td> <td>s, and</td> <td></td>	Prem	Cove	s, and	
100	360	The state of the s		σ)					73							62	22				197	'eh 1	Premium Is S	Coverage Is	PR	
	755		16	o,	ı				143	· ·		υ Έ		NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O		62	16				197	Veh 2	hown For	Provided 1	IM	
	279	ATT		Ø	1	Substitution of the substi			ω 2)						о С	18				161	Veh 3	The Coverage	Is Provided Where A		
		Lucian																								

AUTO 3079 (Ed. 4-93)

Veh

 $\omega \bowtie \vdash$

Year 1991 1996 1978

> Make BUICK NISSAN

> > Model

VEHICLES

COVERED

ÄΒ

YOUR

POLICY

Vehicle

REGAL MAXIMA

C

ID Number 2G4WB54L7M1420818 JN1CA21D3TT132926 CCU148B169765

CHEVROLET

JAKET R. LAM

Elmel 7-MY

tabbles PLAINTIFF'S EXHBIT

This policy, including all endorsements attached is countersigned by:

M. A. Me Shouley

LibertyGuard Auto Policy Declarations Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance Company Boston, Massachusetts

Page

N

YOUR POLICY NUMBER: AO2-251-474993-105

THESE DECLARATIONS EFFECTIVE: (Continued from P from Previous 07/20/05

Page)

Month/Year 04/2007 Expires:

Safe Driver Insurance No Charges Apply-SCO Plan:

Loss

Payee:

HEA

MAX

ECU

Driver N DENNESS DOMMIE F A POMMIE F Ensure S R ELLIS Name Proper Coverage, Please DRIVER Contact INFORMATION SD 03/28/71 12/05/73 DOB To Add State Drivers Not License 6113687 6029426 Listed Number Above.

The state of the s	PP 04 84 12 89 Split Uninsured Motorists RUTO 3941 PP 03 05 08 86 PP 03 09 04 86 PP 03 09 04 86 PP 03 09 04 86 Split Liability Liminatic Terminatic PS2161 05 96 PS2112 10 99 PP 13 01 12 99 Coverage For Damage		Muiti-Car Driver)	ОТНЕК D	(2000) O iscounts G assive Restraint - Requires M O (Motorized Seat Belts and)	татств
	rship sured Motorists Limits - Alabama Motorists Coverage - Alabama of Policy Definitions le Clause Labor Cost Coverage Lility Limits Coverage Exclusion Permination Endorsement Limits Transportation Expenses Coverage a Replacement Cost Coverage by Replacement Cost Coverage coverage to Your Auto Exclusion Endorsement	EMENTS ATTACHED TO YOUR POLICY	Liciarich unco warth a trans of the title of	ISCOUNTS INCLUDED IN YOUR RATE	Veh 1 Veh 2 Veh 3 Med Pay No Yes No nd/or Air Bags)	DISCOUNTS INCLUDED IN YOUR RATE

AUTO 3079 (Ed. 4-93)

DATE P. LAM

This policy, including all endorsements attached is countersigned by:

AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE £. The Kindey